

Security & Privacy

... and why you should care.

Sarah Harvey

{CrySP, Information Retrieval} group University of Waterloo sharvey@cs.uwaterloo.ca

Why this talk?



Why this talk?

- Lots of media coverage on Snowden, NSA, and other government agencies
- Media coverage on sec/privacy policies companies
- Does the general public actually understand what all of this means?
- What are the implications of these findings?
 - Not just technological, but social context

Who am I?

- ...mostly just some PhD student at UW
- Interested in Sec/Pri problems in IR systems
 - User profiling, user behavior
 - Privacy implications of profiling, linking
 - Improving privacy of large IR systems
- Interested promoting awareness of security, privacy systems

Outline

- Motivation
- What defines security? privacy?
- Who gets to see your stuff?
 - Who is the "bad guy"?
- Snowden and friends
- The issue of trust

This, Jen... is the internet



What is the internet?



A typical internet pathway



What's on the wire?

Any of those people can see my stuff

No	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Info	
25	2.232123	192.168.1.165	192.168.1.178	HTTP	GET /hiding.php HTTP/1.0	
26	2.233117	192.168.1.178	192.168.1.165	TCP	http > 63801 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=404 Win=6432 Le	
27	2.297124	192.168.1.178	192.168.1.165	HTTP	HTTP/1.1 200 OK (text/html)	
28	2.297127	192.168.1.178	192.168.1.165	TCP	http > 63801 [FIN, ACK] Seq=377 Ack=404 Win=	
29	2.298120	192.168.1.165	192.168.1.178	тср	63801 > http [ACK] Seq=404 Ack=378 Win=63864	

■ HTTP/1.1 200 OK\r\n

```
Date: Mon, 18 May 2009 01:48:43 GMT\r\n
    Server: Apache/2.2.8 (Unix) mod_ssl/2.2.8 OpenSSL/0.9.8g DAV/2 PHP/5.2.6\r\n
   X-Powered-By: PHP/5.2.6\r\n
   Content-Encoding: gzip\r\n
    Vary: Accept-Encoding\r\n
   Content-Length: 109
   Connection: close\r\n
   Content-Type: text/html\r\n
   r n
   Content-encoded entity body (gzip): 109 bytes -> 100 bytes
Line-based text data: text/html
    <html>\n
    <body>\n
    You can't read the content of this page while sniffing on wire.\n
    </html>\n
    <body>\n
```

Cryptography in 30 seconds

- So we have this wonderful technology called cryptography.
 - Encryption protects confidentiality.
 - MACs/digital signatures protect integrity and authenticity.
- Types of cryptographic systems:
 - Symmetric-key systems
 - Public-key systems

Applying crypto

Revisiting communication securely



Revisiting the wire

📶 cups.cap - Wireshark									
Eile Edit View Go Capture Analyze Statistics Help									
			🤎 🐨 ≚ 🛛 🖻						
Elter: Expression Clear Apply									
No. +	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Info				
6	0 2009-01-23 20:50:26.711545	10.88.229.196	10.88.229.209	TLSV1	Server Hello, Certificate, Server Hello C				
7	2009-01-23 20:50:26.711616	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.196	TCP	38353 > https [ACK] Seq=121 Ack=1449 Win=				
8	2009-01-23 20:50:26.711647	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.196	TCP	38353 > https [ACK] Seq=121 Ack=1530 Win=				
10) 2009-01-23 20:50:26.717451	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.190	TLSVI TLSVI	Change Cipher Spec. Encrypted Handshake M				
11	2009-01-23 20:50:26.717792	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.196	TLSV1	Application Data				
12	2009-01-23 20:50:26.763286	10.88.229.196	10.88.229.209	TLSV1	Application Data				
13	2009-01-23 20:50:26.763288	10.88.229.196	10.88.229.209	TLSV1	Application Data				
14	2009-01-23 20:50:26.802843	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.196	TCP	38353 > https [ACK] Seq=485 Ack=2140 Win=				
15	2009-01-23 20:50:26.815833	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.196	TLSVI	Application Data				
17	2009-01-23 20:30:20.810144	10.88.229.209	10.88.229.190	TCP	https > 38353 [ACK] seg=2140 Ack=1577 Wir 1				
1 11		10.00.220.100		···· ·	The second secon				
4									
🕀 Fra	ame 11 (248 bytes on wire, 🛛	248 bytes captur	ed)						
. Etł	nernet II, Src: HewlettP_c3	:c6:01 (00:14:c2	:c3:c6:01), Dst	: Vmware_	_a2:58:b1 (00:50:56:a2:58:b1)				
. ∃ Int	ternet Protocol, Src: 10.88	.229.209 (10.88.)	229.209), Dst:	10.88.229	9.196 (10.88.229.196)				
. ∃ Tra	ansmission Control Protocol	, Src Port: 3835	3 (38353), Dst	Port: htt	tps (443), Seq: 303, Ack: 1573, Len: 182				
😑 Sec	cure Socket Layer								
E 1	TLSv1 Record Layer: Applica	tion Data Protoc	ol: http						
	Content Type: Application	Data (23)							
	Version: TLS 1.0 (0x0301)								
Length: 177									
	Encrypted Application Dat	a: 166813911B828	BE9E3710FC1F3BD	CB91D1B98	340376F1521D				
0040		0 10 01 16 00 06	0						
0040	0e 63 17 03 01 00 61 16 6	8 13 91 10 82 80 0 84 02 76 f1 53) e9 e3 .c		;·· 🏼 📥				
0060	$d8 \ bd \ c1 \ 76 \ 1a \ 1c \ 0e \ d6 \ f$	3 4b 84 7c a5 04	3871	к. і.	.80				
0070	a5 50 1f 07 be 0c 5e d2 f	0 36 9c 4c fb 36	і 18 6с . Р	6.L.	6.1				
0080	93 19 6a a3 9e 04 f4 e5 - 2	c 75 ad b0 7e d3	9b 86j	<u>,</u> ų~					
0090	94 b3 67 87 t7 at t4 2a 3	2 70 TC b9 0b 50 2 c2 c6 c7 c4 65	4 4 b 1 5 g	··* 2}···	JK.				
0040	cc c0 49 51 h5 h8 59 6a h	3 CZ E0 Z3 Z4 0Z nd 6a f8 27 Nf 95	ст 41 то	.+J ⊃#⊅ Vi i '	D. 5				
0000	40 ca 28 1e b7 3e a1 08 a	a ca b1 38 42 6f	d2 c3 @.(>8B	0				
00d0	6f 86 d8 77 fc 9f a6 40 e	4 6d dc fe 82 0k	02 Za 0w	@ .m. <u></u>					
00e0	63 6a 76 04 dc 97 95 95 k	4 e8 ac 31 65 Oa	1 tb 55 cjv	1e					
0010	Device is a constructed and the second secon								
Payload is encrypted application data (ssl.app_data), 177 bytes			Packets: 33	Displayed: 33	Marked: U				

Crypto in practice

- HTTPS (the green padlock in your browser)
 - HTTP with SSL
 - Doesn't hide endpoints
- SSH (host keys, transport, pub/pri keys)
 - Doesn't hide endpoints
- Mail (STARTTLS, PGP/GPG)
 - PGP/GPG doesn't protect mail headers



- We're transmitting our data securely, but that doesn't mean our communication is necessarily private.
- Metadata is still being leaked:
 - Who we're talking to
 - When
 - What method (e.g. thing ports/protocol)

Definitions in 30 seconds

- Security is the practice of defending information from unauthorized parties
 - Prevent use, tampering, duplication, destruction

Privacy is the **ability to** seclude one's information from unauthorized parties

Is this really of concern?

- The communication itself is protected.
- Is the metadata really that useful?
- Is it possible to record all that information?

A different view

- Let's take a look at what other things we may inadvertently reveal:
 - Search/click habits (tied to a Google/Bing account)
 - Purchase habits (tied to a credit card, account)
 - Location habits (GPS, PRESTO card, etc.)
 - Etc.
- We are living in an age where any and all information is collected about us.

Do we need to be concerned?

- It depends on who the bad guy is.
- In security/privacy circles, we have a notion of identifying who/what is our adversary.
- We then make certain security assurances about what we can secure/hide against the defined adversary.

Recall how we're usually told to secure our systems:

- Don't go to the super sketchy websites
- Use antivirus
- Use firewall
- Don't reuse passwords
- Never put out personal information about yourself
- We're totally cool here, right guys?

Case 1: scriptkiddies and co.

- Target: home machines/routers
- Purpose: Pwn ur PC (for fun and profit)
- Purpose: create botnets, zombie PCs, etc.
- Method: various scripts/packages readily available (e.g. Metasploit)

Case 2: identity thieves

- Target: accounts of specific users
- Purpose: look for personal information for financial gain
- Method: OSInt, specific backdoors, phishing

Case 3: government agencies

- Target: whistleblowers (the physical person)
- Purpose: prevent highly classified/sensitive information from being revealed
- Method: <CLASSIFIED>

- Case 4: corporations
 - Target: everyone
 - Purpose: improve services for all users; research
 - Method: marketing, lax policies, privacy guarantees
 - Method: scanning through consumed content

Why does this all matter?

- We knowingly or unknowingly end up providing a large amount of information about ourselves
- We now have systems that are capable of both storing and analyzing this data

(This is the focus of information retrieval systems)
 We often trust major third parties to do the right thing in order to provide us with useful services

Speaking of third parties...

Former NSA Honcho Calls Corporate IT Security "Appalling"

Posted by samzenpus on Thursday October 03, 2013 @12:35AM from the is-that-better-than-terrible? dept.

Nerval's Lobster writes

"Former NSA technology boss Prescott Winter has a word for the kind of security he sees

Snowden affair



Snowden affair

- Leaked a number of documents suggesting government surveillance programs in place:
 - PRISM
 - XKeyscore
 - Tempora

Called the most significant leak in US history

Companies response:

- Nope.
- There is no way that Microsoft, Google,
 Facebook, Apple, etc. would willingly provide the NSA with information.
- Policies exist to protect the user, right?

Haha no.

 BINTELLIGENCE

 BUSINESS INSIDER
 Tech
 Finance
 Politics
 Strategy
 Life
 Entertainment

 TECH

 More: Yahoo Marissa Mayer NSA PRISM

Marissa Mayer: 'It's Treason' For Yahoo To Disobey The NSA



Marissa Mayer was on stage on Wednesday at the TechCrunch Disrupt conference when Michael Arrington asked her about NSA snooping.



Haha no.

He wanted to know what would happen if Yahoo just didn't cooperate. He wanted to know what would happen if she were to simply talk about what was happening, even though the government had forbidden it.

"Releasing classified information is treason. It generally lands you incarcerated," she said, clearly uncomfortable with the turn of the conversation.



Yahoo CEO Marissa Mayer

YHOO	Oct 08 04:39PM	
	32.93	

Clearly we can trust crypto

PCWorld Macworld I TechHive

Silent Circle ditches NIST cryptographic standards to thwart NSA spying

Lucian Constantin, IDG News Service

Oct 2, 2013 6:36 AM 🛛 🖶

The U.S. National Security Agency's reported efforts to weaken encryption standards have prompted an encrypted communications company to move away from cryptographic algorithms sanctioned by the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Silent Circle, a provider of encrypted mobile Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) and text messaging apps and services, will stop using the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) cipher and Secure Hash Algorithm 2 (SHA-2) hash functions as default cryptographic algorithms in its products.

...or trust Linux



(Mis)Uses of Technology by Glyn Moody Thu, Sep 19th 2013 1:44pm

Linus Torvalds Admits He Was Approached By US Government To Insert Backdoor Into Linux -- Or Does He?

from the who-can-you-trust? dept

At the LinuxCon meeting in New Orleans, Linus Torvalds was asked if he had ever been approached by the US government to insert a backdoor into the Linux kernel. Here's his characteristic answer:

Torvalds responded "no" while shaking his head "yes," as the audience broke into spontaneous laughter.

So why do we care?

- We can't just worry about protecting explicit information
 - Lots of implicit information being leaked
- Our data is subject to... who's whims?
 - Hackers?
 - Corporations?
 - Gov't Agencies?
- We may not be threats to national security, but we should be aware that this is happening, and be guaranteed some level of privacy

Two recent things to think about

- Adobe leak:
 - Big company = millions of users
 - Source code compromised
 - Passwords were encrypted, not hashed
- NSA v. The World:
 - German Chancellor Merkel's phone was tapped
 - NSA reveals to be monitoring the links between users and corporate datacenters

SSL Added and Removed here!



Questions? Open Discussion



http://teespring.com/nsassl